UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America		ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	v. Dajohn Christopher Davis	Case No.1:21-mj-00320-SJB
	Defendant	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Relefendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – F	indings of Fact
(1)		in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had be U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but in a minor victim	volves:
	the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.(or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed to or local offense.	while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presu person or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
		ve Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendar	nt has committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
(1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	ger the safety of another person or the community.
	Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by _ < _ clear and convincing
appeara	reasons stated on the record, there are no conditions nce of the defendant and the safety of the community obstance Abuse History	or a combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the based on his:
2. Cr 3. Ins	iminal History including Record of Failure to Appear, (stant Offense Conduct	Conduct on Bond
4. Pe	ending Charges/Warrants	5
-		ns Regarding Detention
correction	ns facility separate, to the extent practicable, from per	ney General or a designated representative for confinement in a sons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending inity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United

Date: June 18, 2021 Judge's Signature: /s/ Sally J. Berens

Name and Title: Sally J. Berens, U.S. Magistrate Judge

States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.